



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/242,014 | 04/05/1999 | ACHIM SCHMITT | CM11890 | 9441 |

27752 7590 05/14/2002

THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION
WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161
6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE
CINCINNATI, OH 45224

EXAMINER

WEBB, JAMISUE A

| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|
|----------|--------------|

3761

DATE MAILED: 05/14/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/242,014

Applicant(s)

SCHMITT, ACHIM *ch*

Examiner

Jamisue A. Webb

Art Unit

3761

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 February 2002.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1 and 6-9 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1 and 6-9 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Prosecution Application

1. The request filed on 2/21/02 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 09/242014 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. An action on the CPA follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1 and 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Widlund (5,454,804).

3. Widlund discloses a sanitary napkin (see figures 1-6) for wearing in the crotch portion of a pair of underpants (9), with longitudinal and transversal side edges (see figures 1 and 5), a wearer facing topsheet (1) and a garment facing casing backsheet (2), with flexible side flaps (4,5) that are intended to be folded around the edges of the leg openings of wearer's underpants. Widlund discloses that the flaps are joined with their free edge parts extending inwardly over the absorbent pad on the side of the casing remote from the wearer when the article is in use (column 2, lines 44-47), therefore the side flaps have a predisposition to assume the in-use position, away from the wearer. Widlund also discloses the side flaps, or wrapping elements, can be formed by having the casing sheets extend beyond the side edges of the absorbent core, integral, (column 2, lines 19-23) or the side flaps can be separate elements from the main body portion and are

Art Unit: 3761

attached along the longitudinal edge of the garment wearing casing sheet (Figure 3). Widlund discloses the wrapping elements having fold lines (6,7) where the wrapping elements and the main body portion are severed (Figure 3). Widlund discloses the wrapping elements are less than half the length of the fold lines (6,7) and are relatively in the center of the fold lines (see figure 4&5), therefore it is the examiners opinion that the wrapping elements are being joined at less than 50% of the length of the fold lines. Widlund also further discloses that the part of the edge joins (12, 13) is much longer than the actual join (column 6, lines 31-33), the examiner takes this to mean that the length where the wrapping elements and the main body portion are joined, is much less than the length that they are not, therefore it is the examiner's position that the wrapping elements are only joined at less than 50% of the total length of the longitudinal edge. Widlund discloses the wrapping elements being equipped with adhesive regions (14, 15).

Response to Amendment


4. Applicant's arguments filed 2/21/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
5. With respect to Applicant's arguments that Widlund reference does not teach the claimed invention due to the fact that the wrapping elements are not integral with the mail body portion of the disposable article: As stated above in the rejection the Widlund reference does in fact teach that the flaps are extensions of the topsheet and backsheet. The 103 rejection that was made in the previous office action was based on the fold lines being curvilinear, that limitation is not in the claim anymore, therefore the examiner now considers Widlund a 102 reference, see rejection above.


Art Unit: 3761

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jamisue A. Webb whose telephone number is (703) 308-8579. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30 - 4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John G. Weiss can be reached on (703) 308-2702. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

jaw 
May 13, 2002


Aaron J. Lewis
Primary Examiner